

BiSci 6216: Morphological Systematics

3 hrs. lecture, 2 hr. lab

Professor James M. Clark

Bell Hall 401; phone 4-7144 or 4-9210, email jclark@gwu.edu

Office hours: Wed 11-12A, Lisner 346

SUMMARY

This course surveys methods of studying organismal morphology as a means of inferring phylogeny and studying evolution, emphasizing the concept of homology. Students will read a variety of papers chosen for either their historical influence, broad overview of a topic, or cogent arguments. Each class session will begin with a lecture on the topic followed by a discussion of the papers by the students.

LECTURES

Part I - The first set of lectures and discussions outline the history and logical foundations of homology, as similarities shared by organisms due to a common evolutionary heritage. The hierarchical organization of homologies is the primary evidence for evolutionary relationships, and the goal of phylogenetic systematics is to reconstruct these relationships based upon the simplest explanation of the pattern of shared similarity. Analyses of morphological similarity by definition concern shape, and topological correspondence is therefore the most important criterion for recognizing shared similarities. The information content of shared similarities for phylogenetic inference is codified into states of a character that are hypothesized to be transformations of one another, and methods of coding characters therefore receive particular attention.

Part II - The second set of lectures and discussions concern the transformation of morphology during ontogeny, and the use of ontogenetic data in inferring phylogeny. Special attention is given to whether ontogenetic transformation provides a means of inferring relationships (sequence and direction) among character states. Historical views of recapitulation are reviewed, and current attempts to integrate hypotheses of developmental processes with evolutionary hypotheses are discussed.

Part III - The third set of lectures and discussions concern the interpretation of fossils. The difficulties and advantages of studying fossils are considered, including sampling biases of the sedimentologic record, problems of missing data, whether ancestors can be recognized, and comparisons of inferred relationships with the stratigraphic record of taxa.

Part IV - The last section covers morphometric approaches to the comparison of morphological similarity among organisms and the comparison of size and shape. Quantitative methods offer more precision than qualitative comparisons by providing an objective basis for evaluating when features of organisms are similar and when they are not, but methods founded upon degrees of difference are problematic indicators of phylogeny. Geometric morphometrics will be the main focus of this section.

LABORATORIES

Laboratories include a variety of topics complementing those presented in the lectures, including methods of observing morphology and capturing it digitally, methods of preparing fossils, methods for coding characters, and morphometric methods. A field trip in the spring will visit a local fossil site, the Calvert Cliffs.

LEARNING GOALS: Students will learn the principles and methods of phylogenetic analysis of organismal morphology. This mainly involves interpreting variability within and between taxa and codifying it in a matrix for phylogenetic analysis. Students should understand how to analyze ontogenetic changes and the relevance of the age of fossils to phylogenetic analysis. Students should understand how to quantify morphological comparisons, and the importance of size in these comparisons.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Students should acquire skills in coding morphological characters, analyzing allometry, interpreting fossils, and studying developmental sequences. These will be sufficient for students to publish research in comparative morphology and systematics, and to interpret the results of work published in journals such as *Systematic Biology*, *Evolution*, and *Cladistics*.

COURSE PREREQUISITES

BiSc 210 (Phylogenetic Systematics) or equivalent course.

STUDENT EVALUATION

Two closed book written exams will be given, on part I and on parts II-IV, and one paper. They will all have equal weight (33%) in the final grade.

READINGS: PDFs of papers will be distributed. The section on morphometrics draws mainly on one book that the students may want to purchase:

Zelditch, M.L., D.L. Swiderski, H.D. Sheets, and W.L. Fink. 2004. Geometric morphometrics for biologists – A primer. Elsevier, NY.

LECTURE READINGS

PART I Homology and Character Delimitation

LECTURE 1: Historical foundations of morphological analysis

Aristotle (D'Arcy Thompson, translator) 1910. The Works of Aristotle, Volume IV: Historia Animalium. Clarendon Press, Oxford. (Selections).

Darwin, C. 1859. Mutual affinities of organic beings: morphology: embryology: rudimentary organs. Chapter 14 in The Origin of Species.

Rupke, N.A. 1993. Richard Owen's vertebrate archetype. *Isis* 84: 231-251.

LECTURE 2: Similarity and primary homology statements

Rieppel, O. and M. Kearney. 2002. Similarity. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 75(1): 59-82.

Hawkins, J.A. 2000. A survey of primary homology assessment: different botanists perceive and define characters in different ways. Pp. 22-53 in: Scotland, R. and Pennington, R.T., eds., Homology and Systematics. Taylor and Francis, London.

LECTURE 3: Character state coding

Strong, E. and D.L. Lipscomb. 1999. Character coding and inapplicable data. *Cladistics* 15:363-372.

Hawkins, J.A., C.E. Hughes, and R.W. Scotland. 1997. Primary homology assessment, characters and character states. *Cladistics* 13:275-283.

LECTURE 4: Character correlation

Kangas, A. T., A. R. Evans, I. Thesleff and J. Jernvall. 2004. Non-independence of mammalian dental characters. *Nature* 432: 211-214.

Maddison, W. 1990. A method for testing the correlated evolution of two binary characters: are gains or losses concentrated on certain branches of a phylogenetic tree? *Evolution* 44: 539-557.

Sadleir, R. and P. Makovicky 2008. Cranial shape and correlated characters in crocodylian evolution. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 21: 1578-1596.

LECTURE 5: Morphological ontologies and databasing

Dahdul et al., 2010. The teleost anatomy ontology: Anatomical representation for the genomics age. *Systematic Biology* 59(4): 369-383.

LECTURE 6: Polymorphic characters

Mabee, P.M. and J. Humphries. 1993. Coding polymorphic data: examples from allozymes and ontogeny. *Systematic Biology* 42:166-181.

Wiens, J. 2000. Coding morphological variation within species and higher taxa for phylogenetic analysis. Pp. 115-145 in: J. Wiens, ed., Phylogenetic Analysis of Morphological Data. Washington, DC, Smithsonian Press.

LECTURE 7: Continuous variables I

Thiele, K. 1993. The holy grail of the perfect character: the cladistic treatment of morphometric data. *Cladistics* 9:275-304.

Garcia-Cruz, J. and V. Sosa. 2006. Coding quantitative character data for phylogenetic analysis: A comparison of five methods. *Systematic Biology* 31: 302-309.

LECTURE 8: Continuous variables II

Strait, D., M.A. Moniz, and P. T. Strait 1996. Finite mixture coding: A new approach to coding continuous characters. *Systematic Biology* 45:67-78.

Goloboff, P.A., C.I. Mattoni and A.S. Quinteros. 2006. Continuous characters analyzed as such. *Cladistics* 22: 589-601.

LECTURE 9: Uncertainty in primary homology statements

Ramirez, M.J. 2007. Homology as a parsimony problem: a dynamic homology approach for morphological data. *Cladistics* 23: 588-612.

Agnarsson, I. and J. Coddington. 2008. Quantitative tests of primary homology. *Cladistics* 24: 51-61.

LECTURE 10: Likelihood and Bayesian analysis of morphology

Lewis, P. O. 2001. A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological character data. *Systematic Biology* 50:913-925.

Nylander J.A., F. Ronquist, J.P. Huelsenbeck and J.L. Nieves-Aldrey. 2004. Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of combined data. *Systematic Biology* 53(1):47-67.

LECTURE 11: Adaptation and phylogeny

Gould, S. J., and R. C. Lewontin. 1979. The spandrels of San Marco and the Panglossian paradigm: A critique of the adaptationist programme. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, B205:581-598.

Coddington, J.A. 1988. Cladistic tests of adaptational hypotheses. *Cladistics* 4:1-20

LECTURE 12: MID-TERM TEST

PART II Ontogeny

LECTURE 13: Ontogeny and phylogeny

Alberch, P., S.J. Gould, S.J., G.F. Oster, and D.B. Wake 1979. Size and shape in ontogeny and phylogeny. *Paleobiology* 5: 296-317.

Fink, W.L. 1982. The conceptual relationship between ontogeny and phylogeny. *Paleobiology* 8:254-264.

Dayrat, B. 2003. The roots of phylogeny: How did Haeckel build his trees? *Systematic Biology* 52: 515-527.

LECTURE 14: Does the ontogenetic sequence of characters have phylogenetic information?

Nelson, G. 1978 Ontogeny, phylogeny, paleontology, and the biogenetic law. *Systematic Zoology* 27:324-345.

Kluge, A.G. and R.E. Strauss 1985 Ontogeny and systematics. *Annual Reviews in Ecology and Systematics* 16:247-268.

De Pinna, M.C.C. 1994 Ontogeny, rooting, and polarity. Pp. 157-172 in Models in Phylogeny Reconstruction, R.W. Scotland, D.J. Siebert, and D.M. Williams eds. Clarendon Press, Oxford.

LECTURE 15: Empirical tests of the “ontogeny criterion”

Mabee, P.M. 2000 The usefulness of ontogeny in interpreting morphological characters. Pp. 84-114 in: J. Wiens, ed., Phylogenetic Analysis of Morphological Data. Washington, DC, Smithsonian Press.

LECTURE 16: Developmental sequence analysis

Maxwell, E.E. and L.B. Harrison. 2009. Methods for the analysis of developmental sequence data. *Evolution and Development* 11: 109-119.

Schulmeister, S. and W.C. Wheeler 2004. Comparative and phylogenetic analysis of developmental sequences. *Evolution & Development* 6:50–57.

LECTURE 17: Developmental genetics and homology

Hall, B.K. 2005. Homoplasy and homology: Dichotomy or continuum? *Journal of Human Evolution* 52: 473-479.

Shubin, N., C. Tabin and S. Carroll. 2009. Deep homology and the origins of evolutionary novelty. *Nature* 457: 818-823.

Bang, R., T. Schultz, and R. DeSalle. 2002. Development, homology, and systematics. Pp. 175-186 in: R. DeSalle, G. Giribet, and W. Wheeler, eds., *Molecular Systematics and Evolution: Theory and Practice*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston.

LECTURE 18: Development, complex characters and evolution

Wake, D.B., and G. Roth. 1989. The linkage between ontogeny and phylogeny in the evolution of complex systems. Pp. 361-377 in D.B. Wake and G. Roth, "Complex organismal functions: Integration and evolution in vertebrates." John Wiley and Sons, San Francisco.

Atchley, W.R. and B.K. Hall. 1991. A model for development and evolution of complex morphological structures. *Biological Reviews* 66: 101-157.

Kavanagh, K.D., A.R. Evans and J. Jernvall. 2007. Predicting evolutionary patterns of mammalian teeth from development. *Nature* 449: 427-433.

PART III Paleontology

LECTURE 19: The nature of the fossil record

Kidwell, S. and K. Flessa. 1996. The quality of the fossil record: Populations, species, and communities. *Annual Reviews of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 24:433-64.

Eldredge, N. and S.J. Gould. 1972. Punctuated Equilibria: An alternative to phyletic gradualism. In Schopf, Thomas J.M. (ed.), *Models in Paleobiology*, pp. 82-115. Freeman, Cooper and Co., San Francisco.

LECTURE 20: Fossils and phylogeny

Patterson, C. 1981. Significance of fossils in determining evolutionary relationships. *Annual Reviews in Ecology and Systematics* 12:195-223.

Donoghue, M., J. Doyle, J. Gauthier, A. Kluge, and T. Rowe 1989 The importance of fossils in phylogeny reconstruction. *Annual Reviews in Ecology and Systematics* 20:431-460.

LECTURE 21: Phylogeny and the stratigraphic record

Smith, A.B. 1994 Systematics and the Fossil Record. Blackwell, Oxford. (Selections)

Pol, D., Norell, M. A. and Siddall, M. E. 2004. Measures of stratigraphic fit to phylogeny and their sensitivity to tree size, tree shape, and scale. *Cladistics* 20, 64-75.

Huelsenbeck, J. and B. Rannala 2000 Using stratigraphic information in phylogenetics. Pp. 165-191 in: J. Wiens, ed., *Phylogenetic Analysis of Morphological Data*. Washington, DC, Smithsonian Press.

Wagner, P. 2000. The quality of the fossil record and the accuracy of phylogenetic inferences about sampling and diversity. *Systematic Biology* 49: 65-86.

LECTURE 22: Soft tissues, functional morphology and behavior in fossils

Witmer, L. 1995 The extant taxon bracketing method. Pp. 19-33 in: *Functional Morphology in Vertebrate Paleontology*, J.J. Thomason, ed. Cambridge Univ. Press, NY.

Rayfield, E.J. 2007. Biomechanics and evolution of living and fossil organisms. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 35:541-76.

LECTURE 23: Dating molecular divergences times with fossils

Benton, M., P.C.J. Donoghue and R. Asher. 2009. Calibrating and constraining molecular clocks. Pp. 35-86 in *The Timetree of Life*, S. B. Hedges and S. Kumar, Eds. Oxford University Press.

LECTURE 24: Problems with missing data

Kearney, M. and J. Clark. 2003. Problems due to missing data in phylogenetic analyses including fossils: a critical review. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 23: 263-274.

Wilkinson, M. 1994 Common cladistic information and its consensus representations: Reduced Adams and reduced cladistic consensus trees and profiles. *Systematic Biology* 43:343-368.

Wilkinson, M., J.L. Thorley, and P. Upchurch. 2000 A chain is no stronger than its weakest link: Double decay analysis of phylogenetic hypotheses. *Systematic Biology* 49:754-776.

PART IV Morphometrics

LECTURE 25: Size and allometry

Thompson, D'Arcy 1963 (reprint) *On Growth and Form*. Cambridge University, Cambridge. (Selections)

Zelditch et al. 2004, *Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists*, chapter 1.

LAB Geometric morphometrics

Zelditch et al. 2004, *Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists*, chapter 2.

LECTURE 26

Catalano, S.A., P.A. Goloboff, and N.P. Giannini 2010. Phylogenetic morphometrics (I): the use of landmark data in a phylogenetic framework. *Cladistics* 26 (2010) 539–549

Zelditch et al. 2004, Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists, chapter 3, skim chapter 4.

LECTURE 27 Geometric morphometrics

Zelditch et al. 2004, Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists, chapter 5.

LAB Geometric morphometrics

Zelditch et al. 2004, Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists, chapter 7.

LECTURE 28 Geometric morphometrics

Zelditch et al. 2004, Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists, chapter 14, skim chapter 13.

FINAL – As scheduled.

LAB TOPICS

- 1: Editing morphological images
- 2: Online morphological databases
- 3: Coding continuous variables
- 4: Character correlation
- 5: Bayesian analysis of morphology
6. Paleontological techniques (NMNH)
7. Size and scaling – allometric equations
8. Morphometrics (PCA, DFA)
9. Morphometrics (Geometric morphometrics)

Field trip to Calvert Cliffs